

Integration of Aviation Automated Weather Observation Systems (AWOS) with Roadside Weather Information Systems (RWIS)

Phase 2: Final Report Presentation

Doug Galarus
Western Transportation Institute
Montana State University

Wednesday, October 21, 2015

Disclaimer

The opinions, findings and conclusions expressed in this presentation are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the California Department of Transportation, or Montana State University.

Acknowledgments

- Caltrans Division of Aeronautics
 - Terry Barrie, Derek Kantar
- Caltrans Division of Research, Innovation and System Information (DRISI)
 - Melissa Clark, Sean Campbell, Mandy Chu
- Caltrans Headquarters
 - Steve Hancock, Mike Jenkinson
- Caltrans District 2
 - Ian Turnbull
- San Jose State University
 - Wenbin Wei
- Western Transportation Institute / Montana State University
 - Dan Richter, Leann Koon, Student Research Assistants
- Caltrans Project Technical Advisory Panel
- Project Focus Group and all who Provided Feedback

Abstract

Weather significantly affects safety as related to transportation, which includes regional surface transportation (highways and local streets) and aviation (airports, hospital heliports and flight paths). Starting in 2008, the Western Transportation Institute (WTI) at Montana State University (MSU), in partnership with the Mineta Transportation Institute (MTI) at San Jose State University, conducted a research and development study of the proof-of-concept system for integrating Automated Weather Observing System (AWOS) with Roadside Weather Information System (RWIS).

The goal of this multi-phase project is to provide airport managers, air traffic controllers, pilots, and related operators of air ambulance services with more comprehensive and accurate meteorological data by integrating currently used weather systems with systems used by related agencies. Implementing such an integrated system is expected to improve safety and increase efficiency.

The project is targeted at small, underserved rural airfields and hospital heliports.

This document summarizes work conducted in Phase II of the research project, which ends December 31st, 2015.

Project Tasks

Task: Project Management

Deliverables:

- Kickoff Meeting : Thursday, February 28th, 2013 in Sacramento.
- Quarterly Reports
- Other meetings via teleconference and web conference.
- Special meeting : “California Aviation Day at the Capitol” on Wednesday, April 23, 2014. See:
<http://www.westernstates.org/Projects/Aviation/Updates/2014-04-29.html>
- Project web presence for background and updates :
<http://www.westernstates.org/Projects/Aviation/Default.html>

Task: Business Case Analysis

Deliverable:

- Benefit Analysis of the Aviation WeatherShare System by Wenbin Wei, San Jose State University. Finalized February 2, 2014.

Task: Research Additional Sources

Deliverable:

- Integration of Aviation Automated Weather Observation Systems (AWOS) with Roadside Weather Information Systems (RWIS) Phase II Data Sources Summary, by Daniell Richter and Douglas Galarus, Western Transportation Institute, Montana State University. Finalized April 27, 2015.

Task: Detailed System Requirements

Deliverable:

- Integration of Aviation Automated Weather Observation Systems (AWOS) with Roadside Weather Information Systems (RWIS)
Phase II Detailed Prototype System Requirements Specification, by Daniell Richter and Douglas Galarus, Western Transportation Institute, Montana State University. Finalized August 13, 2014.

Task: Develop System

Deliverable:

- Phase II System in Development and Testing Environment

Task: Implementation

Deliverable:

- Phase II System in Production Hosting Environment:
<http://aviation.weathershare.org/>.

Task: Evaluation

Deliverable:

- Evaluation Summary (Included in the Project Final Report)
 - SJSU Survey Results
 - Online Survey Results
 - Google Analytics Results

Task: AWOS/ASOS Gap Analysis

Deliverable:

- Integration of Aviation Automated Weather Observation Systems (AWOS) with Roadside Weather Information Systems (RWIS) Phase II Gap Analysis, by Douglas Galarus and Daniell Richter, Western Transportation Institute, Montana State University. Finalized July 10, 2015.

Task: Determine Usage Status and Recommendations

Deliverable:

- Disclaimer on the splash screen, shown upon entry to <http://aviation.weathershare.org/>.

Task: Final Project Report and Presentation

Deliverable:

- Final Project Report will be finalized prior to the conclusion of the project: December 31st, 2015.
- The Final Project Presentation (this presentation)

The Prototype System


Data Retrieval and Processing...

Dynamic Data Layers & Sources


- National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR) Aviation Digital Data Service (ADDS):
 - PIREPS
 - TAF
 - SIGMETS
 - METAR
- NOAA's Satellite Service Division of the NESDIS (SSD):
 - Satellite Images
- NOAA's National Weather Service National Doppler Radar Sites (NDRS):
 - Radar Images
 - Precipitation Images
- NOAA's National Weather Service NCEP Central Operations (NCO):
 - Wind Aloft
 - Temperature Aloft
- Caltrans CWWP2:
 - Caltrans CCTV
- National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA)'s National Weather Service Public Alerts:
 - NWS Alerts
- National Weather Service National Digital Forecast Database:
 - Surface Forecasts
- Caltrans Scanweb:
 - Caltrans RWIS
- Meteorological Assimilation and Data Ingest System (MADIS):
 - Surface Conditions
- MesoWest:
 - Surface Conditions

Airport, Heliport and Military Aviation Facilities are presented as a static layer using data provided by Caltrans.

Aviation Layers



Surface, Surface Forecast and Surface Conditions Layers



The Prototype System

A Pictorial Overview ...

Aviation Layers

Aviation Layers

AWOS/ASOS

Pilot Reports

Terminal Aerodrome Forecasts

Airports

SIGMETs/AIRMETs


NWS Composite Reflectivity

NWS 1-Hour Precipitation

Satellite

Wind Aloft

Temperature Aloft



AWOS / ASOS

KBLU - BLUE CANYON - NYACK EMIGRANT GAP, CALIFORNIA

Report Time: 9:52 AM MDT - Tue, Apr 7 2015

Location: 39.2749, -120.709379167

Flight Category: LIFR

Wind: 10 MPH (9 knots) from the South (180°)

Visibility: 0.25 miles

Dew Point: 28 °F

Pressure (altimeter): 29.769686 in. Hg


Elevation: 5278 ft.

Frequency: 120.075 (ASOS)


Phone: 530-389-2091 (ASOS)

Raw Data: KBLU 071552Z AUTO 18009KT 1/4SM +SN FZFG VV002 M01/M02 A2977 RMK AO2


PK WND 17026/1500 SLP093 P0008 T10111022




Pilot Reports





Terminal Aerodrome Forecasts




Airports





SIGMETs/ AIRMETs




Satellite





Temperature Aloft




Surface Layers

Surface Layers

NWS Alert


Caltrans CCTV

NWS Alerts






Caltrans CCTV




Surface Forecast Layers


Surface Forecast
Air Temperature
Wind Speed
Wind Gust Speed
Humidity
Sky Cover
12-Hour Chance of Precipitation
6-Hour Precipitation
Snow
Weather





Air Temperature






Wind Speed




Wind Gust Speed







Snow



Weather

Surface Conditions Layers

Surface Conditions

Air Temperature


Wind Speed

Hourly Precipitation


24-Hour Precipitation



Humidity

RWIS Stations



Air Temperature





Google

*Sites with data older than 90 minutes not shown.



© Copyright 2014 WTI / MSU

Google

*Sites with data older than 90 minutes not shown.



© Copyright 2014 WTI / MSU

Last Updated: 4/7/2015 11:13:39 AM MDT



Google

Google



Evaluation

Focus Group Survey (SJSU)

Online Survey

Google Analytics System Usage Statistics

(Gap Analysis)

Focus Group Survey

- San Jose State University conducted an open-ended survey of a focus group of prospective users once the Phase II prototype was available for use.
- Caltrans provided San Jose State University with a list of nine participants for this survey.
- Some of these participants forwarded the survey to others, resulting in sixteen total participants.
- Eleven of the participants were pilots, four were airport managers or government officials, and one was not specified.
- SJSU sent the survey to the focus group on October 22, 2013

Question #1. What are the strengths of the prototype integrated weather system? For example, do you find necessary weather information in the system or not?

- *It is nice to have the AWOS and Cal-Trans info in a single location.*
- *Flying: Found the information I need for local flying. No information for trips leaving or entering California beyond the border. Even for local flying, I would like to see large scale systems such as weather extend far beyond the state's boundaries.*
- *Intuitive operations (don't really need instructions).*
- *after several times on the site I found that each time was better and that I can get real time information from anywhere.*
- *Good job it is a keeper.*
- *like the proposed site...great utility...easy to manipulate...cannot think of any thing lacking....when finished it will become my # 1 WX site for both aviation and surface operations...thanks for the opportunity to "take an early look" .*

Question #1. What are the strengths of the prototype integrated weather system? For example, do you find necessary weather information in the system or not?

(Continued ...)

- *There's a wealth of information available, which may be an obstacle for some people. It might seem overwhelming.*
- *The Caltrans roadcam layer is a good idea, many pilots might not think to check this resource if it hadn't been integrated.*
- *Being able to see all the surface wind vectors on the map could be infinitely useful for low level planning as well as educational to see how the terrain effects wind locally in different weather systems.*
- *As a pilot, I use ADDS because it consolidates so many items. Your graphical navigation of many of those items is very useful for California trips. However, since I live in far northwest California, I would find it useful to see Oregon data as well. Any plans to expand this to the full set of Pacific NW states as well as California?*

Question #2. In what specific areas do you think that the system should be improved? For example, what additional weather information or features should the system have?

- *It would be nice if the airport icon colors indicated the current conditions at the field ie: VFR, MVFR, IFR, LIFR.*
- *Having density altitude reporting at airports is important in our area of mountains and short runways. Cloud ceilings I noticed are not reported which is important.*
- *I noticed that the weather for awos and forecast aviation weather is not fully decoded.*
- *Plain English instead of raw data would be helpful.*
- *Cloud ceilings and tops are common needs for pilots*
- *I like the “Flight Path Tool” on the ADDS website*
- *Any way to integrate icing?*
- *It would really be something if this were expanded to other states.*

Question #3. What other suggestions do you have for the developers of this integrated weather system?

- *Hopefully have it available as an iPhone app.*
- *In proposing an integrated weather system, make sure you can sustain it over the long haul. If pilots begin to depend on it and then it's not there.....*
- *Add coverage to other states like Oregon, Washington, Nevada and Idaho.*
- *It would be good if you could somehow note the differences between this info and other sources. I think you are using RAW, RWIS etc that most of the other sources do not use. Also having the CT Web cams is great – not available on any other weather info site.*
- *If there are a "Quick Start Guide" or a "Basic Usage Video", they should be findable. Do NOT use a "video"; they use "Flash Player"!! ..see next...*
- *Your site needs a name. "Integrated Weather System" doesn't cut it from a marketing standpoint. Am I going to ask my friends if they use Integrated Weather System or IWS? We don't need another TLA (three-letter acronym). How about if we just call it "WeatherShare"?*

Question #4. Any other comments?

- *Hopefully this can catch on in more than California, I'd be a little afraid of getting too dependant on this system and then forget how to read hieroglyphics in DUATS/Aviationweather.gov when flying out of State.*
- *I think that you are on the right track. From both a pilots and aviation safety officer aspect I feel that it is critical to have a centralized and easily manipulated source of weather information to make informed Go / No-Go decision making. The good geographical layout along with cameras to reinforce conditions at particularly remote locations will serve users well in better planning their activities.*
- *The interface has been very well thought out.*
- *Your project is developing an excellent tool. Thank you for your efforts. Think about the marketing point. Many potential users are not necessarily all that tech-savy, and some of the ease-of-use features will need some work.*

Online Survey

- The Phase II prototype includes a link to an online survey to solicit further input from prospective users.
- To date, seven people have responded to the online survey.
- No effort was made advertise this survey beyond placement of a link in the application.
- An excerpt of survey responses through September 30th, 2015 are presented here.

Question: Please rate the usefulness of the following surface condition layers:

	Very Useful	Somewhat Useful	Not Very Useful	Not Aware of it	Response Count
Air Temperature	4	1	1	0	6
Wind Speed & Direction	4	1	1	0	6
Precipitation Last Hour	2	3	1	0	6
Precipitation Last 24 Hours	2	3	1	0	6
Relative Humidity	3	2	1	0	6
RWIS Stations	4	0	2	0	6

Question: Please rate the usefulness of the following surface forecast layers:

Answer Options	Very Useful	Somewhat Useful	Not Very Useful	Not Aware of it	Response Count
Air Temperature	5	0	1	0	6
Wind Speed & Direction	5	0	1	0	6
Wind Gust Speed & Direction	5	0	1	0	6
Relative Humidity	3	1	1	0	5
Sky Cover	2	3	1	0	6
12-hour Chance of Precipitation	2	3	1	0	6
6-hour Amount of Precipitation	2	3	1	0	6
Snow	2	3	1	0	6
Weather	3	2	1	0	6

Question: Please rate the usefulness of the following surface layers:

Answer Options	Very Useful	Somewhat Useful	Not Very Useful	Not Aware of it	Response Count
NWS Alerts	4	1	1	0	6
Caltrans CCTV Images	4	0	1	1	6

Question: Please rate the usefulness of the following aviation layers:

Answer Options	Very Useful	Somewhat Useful	Not Very Useful	Not Aware of it	Response Count
AWOS/ASOS (METAR)	5	0	1	0	6
Pilot Reports (PIREPS)	3	2	1	0	6
Terminal Aerodrome Forecasts (TAF)	2	3	1	0	6
Radar: NWS CONUS Merged Reflectivity Composite	3	2	1	0	6
Radar: NWS 1-Hour Precipitation	3	2	1	0	6
Satellite: Visible (vis)	2	3	1	0	6
Satellite: Rainbow (rb)	2	2	2	0	6
Satellite: Visible (rgb)	2	3	1	0	6
Satellite: Shortwave (ir2f)	1	3	1	1	6
Satellite: JSL2 (jsl)	0	3	1	2	6
Satellite: Aviation (avn)	1	2	1	2	6
Wind Aloft	1	2	2	1	6
Temperature Aloft	1	2	2	1	6

Google Analytics System Usage Statistics

- System usage is tracked via Google Analytics.
- The project team has implement tracking mechanisms to record user selection of layers and markers.
- Additionally, Google Analytics provides information about users and user sessions including the locations of users.
- Tracking via Google Analytics started during Phase 1 on June 1st, 2010.
- The Phase 2 prototype system went live on August 13th, 2013, and additional tracking of layers and markers was implemented at that time.
- Google Analytics data from August 13th, 2013 through September 30th, 2015 is presented here.

1	Sacramento	579	35.24%
2	Redding	153	9.31%
3	San Francisco	74	4.50%
4	Rancho Cordova	65	3.96%
5	Corning	61	3.71%
6	Chico	49	2.98%
7	Monterey	44	2.68%
8	Los Angeles	40	2.43%
9	Hollister	37	2.25%
10	Lincoln	32	1.95%
11	Riverside	27	1.64%
12	Oakland	23	1.40%
13	Weaverville	20	1.22%
14	Vacaville	19	1.16%
15	Dixon	18	1.10%
16	Yreka	18	1.10%
17	Patterson	16	0.97%
18	Folsom	15	0.91%
19	Rocklin	15	0.91%
20	Santa Rosa	15	0.91%
21	Yuba City	15	0.91%
22	Napa	14	0.85%
	
	TOTAL	1643	100%

- 2883 sessions
- 1261 users
- 1643 Sessions from 128 Communities in California



Surface Condition Events

Layer and Sublayer Events

Main Menu Select	1377
Wind Speed	346
Air Temperature	259
24-Hour Precipitation	160
RWIS Stations	148
Hourly Precipitation	137
Humidity	118

Marker Selection Events

Air Temperature	925
Wind Speed	714
RWIS Stations	551
Humidity	124
Hourly Precipitation	100
24-Hour Precipitation	88

Surface Forecast Events

Layer and Sublayer Events

Main Menu Select	1221
Wind Speed	207
Weather	155
Wind Gust Speed	142
12-Hour Chance of Precipitation	117
SkyCover	108
6-Hour Precipitation	87
Air Temperature	67
Snow	62
Humidity	36

Marker Selection Events

Weather	158
Wind Gust Speed	74
Wind Speed	41
Air Temperature	38
12-Hour Chance of Precipitation	16
Sky Cover	14
6-Hour Precipitation	12
Snow	6
Humidity	1

Surface Layer Events

Layer and Sublayer Events

Main Menu Select	1243
Caltrans CCTV	294
NWS Alerts	163

Marker Selection Events

Caltrans CCTV	2832
NWS Alerts	492

Aviation Layer Events

Layer and Sublayer Events

Main Menu Select	1744
Wind Aloft	441
AWOS/ASOS	312
SIGMETs/AIRMETs	277
Pilot Reports	252
Terminal Aerodrome Forecasts	241
Satellite	239
NWS Composite Reflectivity	181
NWS 1-Hour Precipitation	168
Airports	161
Temperature Aloft	136

Marker Selection Events


AWOS/ASOS	6845
Pilot Reports	634
Terminal Aerodrome Forecasts	569
Wind Aloft	409
SIGMETs/AIRMETs	188
Airports	192
Temperature Aloft	95

Gap Analysis

For further information see:


- Integration of Aviation Automated Weather Observation Systems (AWOS) with Roadside Weather Information Systems (RWIS) Phase II Gap Analysis, by Douglas Galarus and Daniell Richter, Western Transportation Institute, Montana State University. Finalized July 10, 2015.

**Heat Map Showing
Distances (mi) to the
Nearest Reporting
AWOS / ASOS Site
from All Locations in
California and
Extreme Points within
Areas of Poor
Coverage**




**(Blue = less than 25
miles from nearest site,
White = 25 miles from
nearest site, Red =
more than 25 miles to
nearest site)**

**Heat Map Showing
Distances (mi) to the
Nearest Reporting
AWOS / ASOS / RWIS
Site from All Locations
in California and
Extreme Points within
Areas of Poor Coverage**



**(Blue = less than 25
miles from nearest site,
White = 25 miles from
nearest site, Red =
more than 25 miles to
nearest site)**



**Heat Map Showing
Distances (mi) to the
Nearest Reporting
AWOS / ASOS / RWIS /
MADIS / MesoWest Site
with QC-Passed
Observations and
Reporting Frequency of
15 Minutes or Better
from All Locations in
California and Extreme
Points within Areas of
Poor Coverage**

Distance

**(Blue = less than 25 miles
from nearest site, White
= 25 miles from nearest
site, Red = more than 25
miles to nearest site)**

40 airports fall more than 25 Miles from the nearest reporting AWOS/ASOS site.

Name	City	County	Distance to AWOS/ASOS
STOVEPIPE WELLS AIRPORT	Death Valley National Park	Inyo	62.64
SUSANVILLE MUNICIPAL AIRPORT	Susanville	Lassen	61.08
SPAULDING AIRPORT	Susanville	Lassen	58.26
LONE PINE AIRPORT	Lone Pine	Inyo	56.46
FALL RIVER MILLS AIRPORT	Fall River Mills	Shasta	50.60
FURNACE CREEK AIRPORT	Death Valley National Park	Inyo	48.48
RAVENDALE AIRPORT	Ravendale	Lassen	47.94
GANSNER AIRPORT	Quincy	Plumas	47.12
SHOSHONE AIRPORT	Shoshone	Inyo	46.94
ROGERS FIELD	Chester	Plumas	46.64
ROUND VALLEY AIRPORT	Covelo	Mendocino	46.45
HAPPY CAMP AIRPORT	Happy Camp	Siskiyou	42.85
RUTH AIRPORT	Ruth	Trinity	42.18
KERN VALLEY AIRPORT	Kernville	Kern	40.98
MESA DEL REY AIRPORT	King City	Monterey	40.48
INDEPENDENCE AIRPORT	Independence	Inyo	39.25
LOST HILLS KERN COUNTY AIRPORT	Lost Hills	Kern	38.19
SOUTHARD FIELD AIRPORT	Bieber	Lassen	37.14
SHELTER COVE AIRPORT	Shelter Cove	Humboldt	36.21
GARBERVILLE AIRPORT	Garberville	Humboldt	36.13
HERLONG AIRPORT	Herlong	Lassen	36.06
OCOTILLO AIRPORT	Ocotillo Wells	San Diego	33.39
NEW CUYAMA AIRPORT	New Cuyama	Santa Barbara	31.83
LITTLE RIVER AIRPORT	Little River	Mendocino	31.23
BAKER AIRPORT	Baker	San Bernardino	30.32
HYAMPOM AIRPORT	Hyampom	Trinity	30.06
MARIPOSA YOSEMITE AIRPORT	Mariposa	Mariposa	29.78
TAFT AIRPORT	Taft	Kern	29.75
SALTON SEA AIRPORT	Salton City	Imperial	29.65
ADIN AIRPORT	Adin	Modoc	28.38
OCEAN RIDGE AIRPORT	Gualala	Mendocino	28.28
LEE VINING AIRPORT	Lee Vining	Mono	28.13
DINSMORE AIRPORT	Dinsmore	Humboldt	28.13
WILLOWS GLENN COUNTY AIRPORT	Willows	Glenn	27.51
NERVINO AIRPORT	Beckwourth	Plumas	27.28
BORREGO VALLEY AIRPORT	Borrego Springs	San Diego	27.06
CHIRIACO SUMMIT AIRPORT	Chiriaco Summit	Riverside	26.54
GRAVELLY VALLEY AIRPORT	Upper Lake	Lake	26.29
TULELAKE AIRPORT	Tulelake	Modoc	25.91
LOS BANOS MUNICIPAL AIRPORT	Los Banos	Merced	25.26

**18 airports fall more than 25 Miles from the nearest reporting
AWOS/ASOS, RWIS, MADIS or Mesowest site.**

Name	City	County	Distance to Weather Station
BAKER AIRPORT	Baker	San Bernardino	42.44
RUTH AIRPORT	Ruth	Trinity	40.11
SHELTER COVE AIRPORT	Shelter Cove	Humboldt	37.34
GARBERVILLE AIRPORT	Garberville	Humboldt	37.11
ALTURAS MUNICIPAL AIRPORT	Alturas	Modoc	34.23
BRAWLEY MUNICIPAL AIRPORT	Brawley	Imperial	32.26
WILLIAM R. JOHNSTON (MENDOTA) AIRPORT	Mendota	Fresno	31.83
RAVENDALE AIRPORT	Ravendale	Lassen	31.79
CALEXICO INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT	Calexico	Imperial	31.03
CALIFORNIA PINES AIRPORT	Alturas	Modoc	30.39
DINSMORE AIRPORT	Dinsmore	Humboldt	28.22
BLYTHE AIRPORT	Blythe	Riverside	27.64
IMPERIAL COUNTY AIRPORT	Imperial	Imperial	27.59
CLIFF HATFIELD MEMORIAL AIRPORT	Calipatria	Imperial	26.99
HYAMPOM AIRPORT	Hyampom	Trinity	26.81
WILLOWS GLENN COUNTY AIRPORT	Willows	Glenn	26.49
ROUND VALLEY AIRPORT	Covelo	Mendocino	26.43
CHIRIACO SUMMIT AIRPORT	Chiriaco Summit	Riverside	25.47

Conclusions

- Feedback has been generally positive and both the focus group and participants in the online survey have provided useful suggestions.
- The system does appear to be on the right track.
- The Google Analytics data helps to augment the survey data in identifying the most used and useful data layers in the system.
- Not surprisingly, users seem most interested in wind speed data.
- One potential data set identified as missing and beneficial is cloud ceilings. Users also requested additional icing data.

Conclusions

- The gap analysis identified areas that are underserved by existing weather stations, relative to data that is accessible by the prototype system.
- Not surprisingly the underserved areas are extremely rural and located in the northeast, northwest, west-central, east-central and southeast portions of the state.
- While rural, there are a number of air fields in these areas that could benefit from having more local weather information. EMS flights certainly occur in these areas as well.
- Aside from identifying gaps, the gap analysis helped to demonstrate the utility of the prototype system over AWOS/ASOS alone.
- Otherwise, the gap analysis results may prove helpful in determining locations in which to deploy future AWOS/ASOS or RWIS.

Contact:

Doug Galarus
Western Transportation Institute
(406) 994-5268
dgalarus@coe.montana.edu

For Further Information see:

<http://aviation.weathershare.org>

<http://www.westernstates.org/Projects/Aviation/>